

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 22, 2001 LR 1

can require the public schools to be taught only in English or to use the English language primarily or exclusively, whatever we define that requirement to be, we can...we can do that. Is that...is that essentially correct with regard to the constitutional parameters that we're working under here?

SENATOR RAIKES: I think that's...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...a fair interpretation. I think what actually happened was that the statute that implemented this constitutional provision as it now is in the constitution was ruled unconstitutional. So you could infer from that that the constitutional provision itself is not...not implementable. And if that's what you said, I think that's a fair interpretation.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, then, unless somebody knows differently, let's all proceed on the assumption that we do have the power to affect how public schools are taught. Having said that, Senator Stuhr, let me ask you with respect to your intention. As I understood this debate two years ago, your intention was to simply sever off the parochial school...

SENATOR STUHR: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...aspect of this constitutional provision and to allow to remain in place the preexisting law with regard to the requirement that our public schools use or teach in the English language. Is that correct?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, that is correct, Senator Beutler. There's a little misunderstanding on Senator Landis' part in the fact that we are only deleting the words "private, denominational and parochial". Because of what you said, it goes back to actually 1919 when there was a prohibition on teaching other languages in private schools and...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR STUHR: ...because of this anti-German sentiment, yes.